

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/25/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/507,629	02/18/2000	Meihua Shen	1945.P3/USA/SILICON/JB 7912	
21861	7590 09/25/2003			
JANAH & ASSOCIATES A PROFESSIONAL CORP 650 DELANCEY STREET SUITE 106			EXAMINER	
			OLSEN, ALLAN W	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 941072001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1763	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A.S
4	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
" Advisory Action	09/507,629	SHEN ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Allan W. Olsen	1763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 01 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application and of the same of	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	-		
b) Mark The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 200.07(f)	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	opriate extension Office action; or
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI</li> </ol>			
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) $\square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		•	
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-5,7-15,17-21,32-49 and 67-81</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>51,52,57,58,61,63,64 and 66</u> .			

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Advisory Action

Claim(s) rejected: 50,53-56,59,60,62 and 65.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_.

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The presently pending claims recite a limitation of a silicon-containing material which could include a silicide. With this limitation having been met by Nguyen's teaching of a silicide-containing material, the examination to date has not been sufficiently focused on the specific silicon-containing species that are recited in the after final amendment.